App. F. IX-4

*Previous References*
Law 82-30-142
82-30-344
82-30-11-14.

*Later References*
Law 82-30-19-22

1930.31
(Law)

**File No.** 82-30
**Serial Nos.** 15—18

(7)

# General and Revenue Secretariat.

---

*Serial Nos.* 7on

**Part B for** January 1932

---

**SUBJECT.**

Interpleader Suit re the box of valuables deposited in the Sira Taluk Treasury by one Beridu Madhanachar of Sira.

---

18) To The D. C. Tumkur Distt.

No. P 3611 dt 31.12.31

Invites reference to this Office letter No. P 6669 Law dt 16.4.31 & states that a fee of Rs 50/- is more than ample and that the Dry Deptt. has to bear this Charge.

re Above Reply
6.1.32.

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. of 193 . [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

2384/31

D. C. 4]

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
TUMKUR DISTRICT

No. A.1.C.1400/30-31 *Dated Tumkur, 30th November 1931*

Law 82-30/15

FROM
N. Sundararaja Iyengar, Esquire, B.A.,
DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
*Tumkur District.*

GENERAL SECRETARY 2 DEC. 1931

TO
No. Law
H.V. Ramasvami, Esquire, M.A., B.L.
*Secretary to the Government of*
*His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,*
General *Department,*
*Bangalore.*

SIR,

Law 82-30 1341/32/6883/B 30/11

In continuation of this office No.A1.C. 1410/30-31 dated 5-11-1931, I have the honor to state that the Public Prosecutor states in his letter No. 536/31-32 dated 18th November 1931, that the costs of filing a suit amount to Rs.223-8-0 comprising the items noted in the margin*.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1. | Court fees on plaint or the suit | Rs. 50-0-0 |
| 2. | Court fees for the Govt: claim or retention charges | 18-0-0 |
| 3. | Vakalat | 0-8-0 |
| 4. | Contingencies. | 1-0-0 |
| 5. | Summons etc. | 4-0-0 |
| 6. | Fees | 100-0-0 |
| | Total Rs. | 223- 8- 0 |

I have the honor to be,
sir,
Your most obedient servant,

N. Iyengar
Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District.

AD. 30/11.

1) Kind reference is invited to para 2-3 of Law file proc. Sls 3-4.

Sub 2 for orders.

ISD 3/12

2) As suggested in para 3 of Law 82-30

(A)

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 70—GBPM—60,000—13-8-31.

9

File No: of 193 | General and Revenue Secretariat

a fee of Rs 500/- may be allowed - This however can be claimed by the Pr only after the disposal of the case, according to rules - The ~~th~~ source out of which the expenditure is to be met has not been pointed out by the W.C.

3) Please put up a dft enquiring

MP 4/12

2) Dft put up.

ISD 4/12 Maybe appd

(Gen Secy) MP 4/12

12/12

Issue MP 14/12

Issued No. P. 3342 dt 16.12.31 | 16

GENERAL SECRETARY. 5 DEC. 1931 No. 4081

TYPING SECTION * 14 DEC. 1931 GENL. & REV. SECTT.

See A
ISD 1.

MP 15/12

From,

The D.C. Tumkur

17

No. 1410/30-31 dt 17.12.31 informing the proposed expenditure may be debited to ch of Law (2) Law Officers fee to Advocates etc the Costs incurred in the proposed Suit will to be received from the party to whom the property ultimately goes according to the adjudication of the Court

Serial No.

b) It is for consideration whether this should be debited to the Suit as suggested by the DC

ISD 22/12

1) Under rule 120 of the Legal affairs Rules, the Department Concerned has to bear the expenses connected with this suit. That Department is apparently the Treasury Since the box was deposited in the Sira Taluk Treasury I do not think, therefore, that the charge can be debited to 18-2 Ch. of Law Fees to Advs. etc., as suggested by the D.C. Tumkur. His Secy may perhaps be consulted.

22 DEC. 1931

Chief Secy) DM 22/12/31

Inform the D.C. as at A

DM 27/12/31

Dft DM 28/12

~~Read~~ ~~was~~ a Dft sub? was rej? to paras 2 & 7 supra.

ISD 29/12

Issue

18 To The D.C. Tumkur DM 28/12

No 11 of 30/12/31

Inviting ref to this office letter No P 6669 of 16.4.31 & stating that a fee of Rs 50/- as mentioned is more than ample & that the box of valuables was deposited in the Sira Tal Treasury it is apparently the Treasury Dept that has to bear this charge

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

B/ ISD 4/1

DM 4/1/32

WD 70—GBPM—60,000—13-8-31

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

**Section** Revn

**File No.** 52.31

**Serial No.** 16

*Diary No. of letter replied to* .....

No 3342

To The D.C. Tumkur

Sir,

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

*the* 193 .

In reply to

Your letter no. A.I.C. 1400/30-31 dated

Date of issue 16/12/31

30th November 1931 furnishing an

estimate of Cost ~~required~~ to be incurred in ~~to~~ file

Signed by M.V.R.

an interpleader Suit to settle the

dispute as to the box of valuables

deposited in the Sira Taluk Treasury

by the late Bindu Madhavachar,

I am directed to request you

to intimate early the Source out

List of enclosures .....

of which the proposed expenditure

is to be met.

I have etc

Copied by 16/12

M.V.R.

4/12 Gen. Sec

I.S.D.

4/12

Examined by .....

WD 71—GPBM—40,000—20-7-31.

D. C. 4]

27557/31

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
TUMKUR DISTRICT.

No. A.1C. 1410/30-31 *Dated Tumkur,* 17th December *1931* .

FROM

M. Sundararaja Iyengar, Esq:, B.A.,
DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
*Tumkur District.*

21 DEC 1931

Law 82-30.17

To

H.V. Ramasvami, Esquire, M.A., B.L.,
*Secretary to the Government of*
*His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,*
General *Department,*
*Bangalore.*

SIR,

With reference to your No.J. 3342 dated 6th December 1931, enquiring the source out of which the proposed expenditure for filing an inter-pleader suit to settle the dispute of the box of valuables deposited in the Sira Taluk treasury by one Bindu Madhavachar is to be met, I have the honor to state that it may be - debited to 18 Courts of Law (2) Law Officers fees to Advocates and other Law Charges.

I have the honor to be,
sir,
Your most obedient servant,

M. Iyengar
Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District.
17/12/31

AD. 17/12.

From

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.

No.

Enclosure No.

GPB—40,000—29-7-14

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section Law
File No. 82-30.
Serial No. 18

*Diary No. of letter replied to* .....

No. 3611

To The DC Trincomalee

Sir,

With reference to the Correspondence ending with your letter No. A.I.C. 1410/30-31 dated 17th Dec 1931 re: the Estimate of Costs required in filing an interplead Suit to settle the dispute as to the box of valuables deposited in the Sira Talaki Treasury by the late Bindu Madhavachar I am directed to invite reference to this office letter no. 6669 Law dated 16th April 1931 and to state that in the Circumstances mentioned therein a fee of Rs 50/- is more than ample.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

*the* 193

Date of issue 31/12/31

Signed by M Roy

List of enclosures Plan A

Copied by [illegible]

Examined by .....

Under rule 150 of the rules for the Conduct of the legal affairs of Govt the department Concerned is to bear the expens Connected with the Suit. Since the box of Valuable was deposited in the Sira Taluk Treasury, it is apparently the treasury department that has to bear this charge ~~point out the Source to which the Cost of Rs 00/- is to be debited~~

I have en

TSD 28/11

DDP Ch. Sec: 28/11

5

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

*Dated, Bangalore,*.....

*No.*

*From*

The Chief ~~Commissioner~~ *General Secretary to the Government of His Highne the Maharaja of Mysore.*

*To*

The Deputy Commissioner,

Tumkur District.

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No.A.I.C. 1410/30-31 dated 17th December 1931 re. the -- estimate of costs required in filing an Interpleader Suit to settle the dispute as to the box of valuables deposited in the Sira Taluk Treasury by the late Bindu Madhavachar, I am directed to invite reference to this office letter No.P.6669 Law dated 16th April 1931, and to state that in the circumstances mentioned therein a fee of Rs.50/- is more than ample.

Under rule 130 of the rules for the conduct of the legal affairs of Gowernment the department concerned has to bear the expenses connected with the suit. Since the box of valuables was deposited in the Sira Taluk Treasury, it is apparently the treasury department that has to bear this charge.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

for Chief Secretary to Govt.

TD.